

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/555,046	12/08/2005	Joachim Clauss	05587-00389-US	2314	
	7590 09/28/2007 BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, L	I.P	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2207			LIPMAN, BERNARD		
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19899		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1713		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/555,046	CLAUSS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bernard Lipman	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON c, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	. 🗖		
1)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/28/05</u> .	6)	<u>_</u> .	

Application/Control Number: 10/555,046 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niessner et al, U. S. Patent 5,475,053 in view of Rosenau et al, U. S. Patent 5,821,302 and Schleith et al, U. S. Patent 5,340,877.

All three references are drawn to molding compositions for similar applications and contain thermoplastic polymers and dulling agents. Reference to Niessner et al teaches the use of a broad spectrum of thermoplastics from which any would be an obvious matter of choice to one of ordinary skill in the art. Reference to Rosenau et al specifically teaches component b of the claims for use in these compositions with the particle size disclosed in column 6. Reference to Schleith et al teaches the choice of

polyoxymethylene as the thermoplastic. From the teachings of Niessner et al and Rosenau et al it would be prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the small particulate polymers into the molding composition of Niessner et al and to choose the thermoplastic polymers from those known in the art as seen from all three references absent evidence of unexpected results commensurate in scope to the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-1105. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Mon-Fri.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bernard Lipman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713